

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	10/804,623 FILING DATE 03/19/2004		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/804,623			Willy H. Piret	25406A	7845
22889	7590 2DND 10	12/16/2004	EXAMINER		
OWENS COLUM		AD	LEE, RIP A		
GRANVILL	E, OH 43	3023		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1713	
				DATE MAILED: 12/16/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/804,623	PIRET ET AL.	1	
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Rip A. Lee	1713		
Period 1	The MAILING DATE of this communication a for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence addr	ess	
- Ext afte - If th - If N - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, he period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a round of the provision of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state of the period by the Office later than three months after the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comi	πunication.	
Status			· •		
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a) <u></u>		nis action is non-final.	•		
3)	/ 	/ance except for formal mai	Horo managette		
	closed in accordance with the practice under	r Ex parte Quavle, 1035 C.	ners, prosecution as to the m	erits is	
)isnaeit	ion of Claims	Lx parte Quayle, 1955 C.	J. 11, 453 U.G. 213		
			•		
4)[Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application				
- \-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-20 is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) 1 and 3 is/are objected to.	1			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.			
pplicati	on Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cented or h) chicated to	h		
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	o drawing (a) he held in all	by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	e drawing(s) be neid in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the correction is objected to by the E	Cuon is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	.121(d).	
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-	152.	
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)[_] /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in A	pplication No		
	Copies of the certified copies of the price	prity documents have been	received in this National Sta	ge	
	application from the International Burea	iu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		_	
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not i	received.		
tachment((a)				
Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Co	Immon (DTC 140)		
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date		
🔼 Inform	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date <u>07272004</u> .	5) L Notice of Inf	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Dan		6) 🔲 Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/804,623

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1 and 3 are objected to because of the following informalities: The term "copolymerizable" should be replaced with "copolymerized" in order indicate clearly that the claimed material is, indeed, a copolymerizate. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, and 4-9, 11-14, and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,945,134 to Strait *et al*.

Strait et al. discloses an aqueous precursor sizing composition comprised of coupling agents (A-1100 organosilane), lubricants (Emmerlube 6760U), and non-ionic surfactant, or wetting agent, (PEG 400 monostearate) for treating glass fiber; (see table, col. 5). After treatment with a precursor size, the glass fibers are sized with another composition that contains binder and other components such as wetting agents, film formers, coupling agents, and lubricants (col. 5, lines 59-64). According to the table shown in column 6, one binder contains the partial ammonium salt of butadiene-maleic anhydride copolymer (Maldene 286). As such, the two-part sizing composition of present claims 1, 2, and 4-9 is taught in Strait et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/804,623

Art Unit: 1713

The glass fiber is made into a densified glass fiber pellet (claim 1 and abstract, line 1), and therefore, the glass fiber product of present claims 11-14 and 16 lies within the disclosure of Strait *et al*. The pellets are then used to form composite articles (see abstract, line 9). It follows that the composite article of present claims 17-20 is also taught in the prior art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claims 10 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,945,134 to Strait *et al.* in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,811,480 to Kirchmeyer *et al.*

The discussion of the disclosures of the prior art of Strait et al. from paragraph 13 of this office action is incorporated here by reference. Although Strait et al. teaches a two-part sizing composition for glass fibers, there is no indication as to the type of glass used in the production of the glass fiber. Kirchmeyer et al. teaches that E-, A-, C-, and S-glasses are used

Application/Control Number: 10/804,623

Art Unit: 1713

conventionally for the production of glass fibers (col. 2, line 45), and therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to use the sizing composition of Strait *et al.* to treat glass fibers that are made from these glasses because the skilled artisan would expect the treatment to work for all types of glass fiber. The combination is obvious because both references relate to treated glass fibers.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if amended to overcome the claim objection (*supra*) and if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. There is no teaching or suggestion in the prior art which would have prompted one of ordinary skill in the art to use the secondary binder copolymers listed in the present claim.

The prior art made of record but not relied upon is considered pertinent to the Applicant's disclosure.

U.S. Patents No. 3,816,235 to Lin and 5,972,503 to Woodside and JP 60-44535 teach glass fiber treated with maleic anhydride/butadiene copolymer. The size compositions differ from that presently claimed in that they are not two-part size compostions.

JP 3-80135 teaches a single-part size composition comprised of a terpolymer of ethyleneethylacrylate-maleic anhydride for treating glass fiber.

U.S. Patents No. 4,609,591 to Pollet *et al.* and 5,324,755 to Kilius *et al.* disclose glass fibers treated with ethylene-ethylacrylate copolymer. The polymer is not copolymerized with maleic anhydride, as (apparently) required by the present claims.

Art Unit: 1713

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rip A. Lee whose telephone number is (571)272-1104. The examiner can be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be reached at (571)272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on the access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

Rip A. Lee

December 9, 2004